

The Board of License Commissioners of Cecil County met in a regular session at the County Administrative Building, Conference Room, 200 Chesapeake Boulevard, Elk Room, Elkton, MD on Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 9:15 A.M.

PRESENT: Stephen Miller, Chairman
Kristen Ortt, Commissioner
Lawrence Scott, Board Attorney
Justin Hill, Staff Attorney
Earl Bradford, Chief Alcoholic Beverage Inspector
Robin S. Trader, Administrative Assistant

At 9:15 AM, Chairman Stephen Miller called the Board of License Commissioners Meeting to order; he asked everyone to stand for the Pledge of Alliance to the Flag; he then stated Commissioner Chiominto is on a scheduled vacation, there is a quorum, however, if anyone would like to reschedule, they may do so.

APPLICATION NEW LICENSE

Application received from Christopher Davis, Owner, Steve Applegate, Owner; John Murray, Cecil County Resident; Bog Turtle Brewery LLC, T/A Hatchers On Main, 9 E. Main Street, Rising Sun, MD 21911 for issuance of a new Class "B" BWL Alcoholic Beverages License.

Applicants Christopher Davis, Steve Applegate and John Murray appeared before the Board with attorney, Joe Snee. The applicants were duly sworn by the Clerk. The fully executed application packet with proper fees included: signatures of 10 registered voters and property owners in District 6. The legal notice was posted September 14 and 21, 2022.

Mr. Snee presented the Board with presentation binders and stated the applicants would like to open across the street from the brewery an event space, offering breakfast and space for special functions; breakfast will be served at this time Thursday through Sunday from 7 AM -12 Noon; they would like to be open December 2022 – January 2023 pending construction and supply chain demands. Mr. Snee stated the building is owned by Joseph and Dawn Cross; it formerly Sue's Diner. The carding policy at Bog Turtle would be the same at Hatchers, anyone 40 and under.

Mr. Davis stated they would like to bring breakfast back to Rising Sun; they will be doing a complete renovation of the interior of the building. He

continued that they have had several requests of have showers, parties, etc and there is simply no space in the brewery for such things.

Mr. Scott thanked them “for a thorough presentation; we don't always get that, and it's refreshing to have our businesses who take the authority of the Liquor Board seriously because they have a very important role to play in the community. And I appreciate the presentation. So, thank you.” Mr. Scott asked if they have any type of support, or can you testify to any support from the municipality of Rising Sun? Mr. Snee stated that they do in fact have the support of the Mayor, who has championed them in Annapolis.

Commissioner Ortt asked about the parking situation; Mr. Davis stated there is a large lot behind Sun Pharmacy, there's a parking lot in back, street parking is free; they may need to walk about a half a block, but there is ample parking in town.

Commissioner Ortt asked about breakfast; Mr. Davis stated it would be from 7 AM – 12 PM. Commission Ortt then asked how many events they planned on having; Mr. Davis stated they would like to have events every night of the week, however, realistically probably one or two per week.

Chairman Miller how many the room would hold; Mr. Applegate stated 65 people; they have hollowed out the kitchen, pushed the kitchen back as far as they can. Chairman Miller asked about the bathrooms; Mr. Davis stated all brand-new ADA compliant.

Chief Bradford asked if they would be having outdoor activities? Mr. Davis stated they are in discussion to take over the roof; it's flat and spacious and they could hold events up there. Chief Bradford stated they would need to come back at a later date to get Board approval. Commissioner Ortt asked about indoor entertainment; Mr. Davis stated they do plan indoor events, acoustic music, comedy show.

Mr. Scott asked if there was anyone in the audience who wished to speak for or against this license, hearing none, he turned the meeting over to the Board.

Chairman Miller called for a motion; Commissioner Ortt, “I move to approve the application received from Christopher Davis, Owner, Steve Applegate, Owner; John Murray, Cecil County Resident; Bog Turtle Brewery LLC, T/A Hatchers On Main, 9 E. Main Street, Rising Sun, MD 21911 for issuance of

a new Class "B" BWL Alcoholic Beverages License;" Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board unanimously approved the application received from Christopher Davis, Owner, Steve Applegate, Owner; John Murray, Cecil County Resident; Bog Turtle Brewery LLC, T/A Hatchers On Main, 9 E. Main Street, Rising Sun, MD 21911 for issuance of a new Class "B" BWL Alcoholic Beverages License

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

Naynika A. Patel, President; Rajeshkumar I. Patel, Vice President; Kristen M. Mendarte, Maryland Resident; Tech Pride of America, Inc. T/A Happy 40 Liquors, 600 E. Pulaski Highway, Elkton, MD 21921

Naynika Patel appeared before the Board; he was duly sworn by the Clerk. Mr. Patel stated he is here to request an extension of his license; with Corona virus he closed, and the building is old, and he would like to knock down the current building and rebuild; the new structure will be a strip mall and he would be able to rent out some of the spaces. Mr. Scott asked if there was any interest from the public over the past few years; Mr. Patel stated yes. Mr. Scott asked how much time Mr. Patel thought he would need; Mr. Patel stated it takes about six months for the permits, at least six months to build.

Mr. Scott interjected and stated "So I want to give you a word of caution this is not meant to impact or affect what you're which what you want to do but a liquor license is not a right If you come in and you have one it can be taken away if you've not followed the rules. But you know, as you've stated, you've been a good member of society many, many years. However, if progress is not made on a continuing basis where you're getting to a final resolution of what you're trying to do. The Liquor Board at the next hearing could very well not grant you an extension, and then the value of what you're trying to do may not be worth as much. So, for example, if you were to get bank loans, the bank loan might have a caveat that you have a liquor license. If you lose your liquor license in the middle the bank may call your note in, and your building's not done. And so, you're in a very risky period investing because liquor licenses are. We're in a special zone because of Covid. But Covid is almost over, and we're now moving into the next phase. And so, we needed to make a decision of which we. We want to be fair and be reasonable to you. What is a fair amount of time? But if you keep coming back every six months, and there's no progress. I want to make you aware that the Board very well could take the liquor license away, because progress isn't being made. This is not how we normally do things, but these are special times. So, we would need to be informed. You need to keep

Earl informed of progress, and that's only if the Board votes and decides to give you an extension, please, Don't miss reports. Please make sure that everyone knows what's going on. We've had other issues throughout the county, and we'd like to be very consistent on how we treat people we want to encourage people to invest. We want to encourage people to hire people to be part of our community. So, I just wanted to give you a note of caution."

Commissioner Ortt asked about parking; Mr. Patel stated he has a big lot with plenty of parking, 40 plus parking spots.

Chairman Miller asked how many square feet he would have? Mr. Patel stated, "the liquor store is going to be under ten thousand square feet?" Mr. Patel: "Right now, we have six thousand six hundred total, so we not go bigger."

Mr. Scott stated, "He's asking you that question for a very specific reason. What's going on with the deal with the Elk Mall?"

Mr. Patel: That one was I don't know it was not going through. Okay, right. It's done. No, he, I don't have contact with him. The person be contacted, so he said he do not get it through in December somewhere until December. But I said, No, I'm gonna go with my plan right now. Okay, I'm doing with my plan.

Mr. Scott: Well, the reason we're asking is that case is being appealed. And so, you're here, you're here asking for something different, which is certainly your rights and allowed to do that. And we're not going to interfere with your legal right to appeal. So, what we're hearing from you is that you are, this is the plan you'd like to go forward with the liquor board.

Mr. Patel: Our plan is to go with the building, anyway, because our building is uh not kind of sustaining. It's very old, right. I try to revive because I was there as a liquor store, but I want to set it down for no reason, but we estimated the cost of renovation of the old building will be little higher than the worth of that building itself. So that's the only reason that we want to do it all the whole project.

Mr. Scott: Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak for or against this request for an extension of a liquor license Seeing none, I turn it back over to you, Chairman."

Chairman Miller stated he would entertain a motion. Commissioner Ortt stated, "I would like to make a motion to approve Naynika A. Patel, President; Rajeshkumar I. Patel, Vice President; Kristen M. Mendarte, Maryland Resident; Tech Pride of America, Inc. T/A Happy 40 Liquors, 600 E. Pulaski Highway, Elkton, MD 21921 for a six-month extension, in which they would need to come back and tell us their progress; Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board unanimously voted to approve Naynika A. Patel, President; Rajeshkumar I. Patel, Vice President; Kristen M. Mendarte, Maryland Resident; Tech Pride of America, Inc. T/A Happy 40 Liquors, 600 E. Pulaski Highway, Elkton, MD 21921 for a six-month extension.

Class "B" BWL No. B-154 - Hudson Benson, Karen Aspinwall, Benson Restaurants, LLC, T/A **Port House Grill**, 15 North Main Street, North East, MD 21901

Hudson Benson and Karen Aspinwall appeared before the Board; they were duly sworn by the Clerk. Mr. Benson and Ms. Aspinwall introduced themselves and Mr. Benson stated "our facility sustained fire damage in November of 2021, and we are here to ask for a hardship extension while we're rebuilding the facility. We're actually fairly well along with the reconstruction; we're probably within a month of getting our final inspection from the folks at permits and inspections. But our plan is to reopen in the spring, it just doesn't make really good business sense to open fresh in the winter. So what we're hoping to do is get an extension through May or into May of 2023.

Mr. Scott asked: "How much fire damage it Was it total? Did you have to get the restaurant?"

Mr. Benson: "Yes, that's a good way to say it. The building itself really sustained no structural damage, but the heat and smoke essentially destroyed everything.

Mr. Scott: Is there anyone here today that would like to speak for, or an opposition to, this application for extension; seeing none, Mr. Chairman I pass it you."

Chairman Miller: "Earl, does eight months seem reasonable or six months"

Chief Bradford stated, "Renewal is March and I'm by there two or three times a week. If I'm there six months from now, and there's no additional

progress, I think if you need to come in and give us an update. But if everything is okay, then I have no problem with that.”

Chairman Miller: “At this point I an entertainment motion.

Commissioner Ortt: “I move to approve the request for extension for the class. B. BWL, Number B-154; Hudson Benson, Karen Aspinwall, Benson Restaurants, LLC. T/A Port House Grill, 15 North Main Street, North East, Maryland, 21901 for an eight-month extension through May 2023 with renewal in March. Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board voted unanimously to approve the request for extension for the class. B. BWL, Number B-154; Hudson Benson, Karen Aspinwall, Benson Restaurants, LLC. T/A Port House Grill, 15 North Main Street, North East, Maryland, 21901 for an eight-month extension through May 2023 with renewal in March.

VIOLATION

Allowing minors in an area where the alcoholic beverages are manufactured, sold, consumed, or stored.

Application for reclassification received from Jessica Alexander, Member; Scott McCardell, Member; Maryland Beer Co, LLC, T/A Maryland Beer Company LLC, 601 N. Bridge Street, Suite C, Elkton, MD 21921 for reclassification of Class “D” BWL No. D-110 to Class “B” BWL Alcoholic Beverages License.

Jessica Alexander and Scott McCardell appeared before the Board; they and Chief Bradford were duly sworn by the Clerk. Chief Bradford read his report into the record.

Upon my arrival I parked out the front, if you're not familiar with the establishment, there's a walkway leading you to the establishment in the awning setting area to the right and to the left. As I arrived to the left of the seating area I saw two individuals of legal age who appeared to be consuming alcoholic beverages, and to the right I saw a gentleman eating food, looked like it could have been Nachos, and a large like pizza box. Accompanied with gentlemen was a six- to seven-year-old subject, and, as we know a Class D tavern, we do not have anyone on gauge twenty-one in the area where alcohol is manufactured, stored or consumed. Soon I interviewed Staff is admitted to my inspection. I spoke with Ms. Jessica and informed her that I saw the young subject outside. We completed the

inspection, and I told her that she would be receiving a violation letter in the near future.

Chairman Miller asked the licensees if they would like to say anything?

Ms. Alexander stated, "Mr. Bradford came in. He and even mentioned that normally the way that Scott and I sit I'm facing the front of the building like as people come in when he came in, my back was to the window, and he said, had you actually been in your seats like you normally are, you would have seen that, and I did not see that, so I did not see that he was dressed like Spiderman. That's why, I said spider-man um a kid out there and um! As soon as Mr. Bradford gave me the violation, I went outside and explained to the gentleman that he could not have his child under twenty-one in our area, while that was, you know, got the license that we had, and he obliged and closed his box, finished his beer, and then they left um like I said. Had I actually been facing the window I would have seen it, but he did not come inside, so I did not see them. So, if you look, if you know our establishment, the one area where they were, they're past the windows so you can't see them walk. You can't see them sitting, but you can see them walk up. So, I did not see that, and that is my fault for not seeing that, and my staff."

Chairman Miller asked, "Was the parent eating your food?"

Ms. Alexander stated, "He was there eating food. Yes, we did get a kitchen and everything and we were coming. That's why you're here for the next part.

Mr. Scott: "How did the gentleman receive his beer? Is there a wait staff?"

Ms. Alexander: "The gentleman came inside, so I'm assuming the gentleman had his kids sit at the table, which is what's there? And he came inside, ordered his beer and Nachos,

Mr. Scott: "and then went outside, and then his child was out there with him

Ms. Alexander: "Yeah, I think he had a tablet or something like a The kid was watching some kind of

Mr. Scott: "and had you known about that"

Ms. Alexander: "I would have normally had him leave."

Mr. Scott: "So you're aware of the rules at a time?"

Mr. Scott: "Any past violations at this establishment?"

Chief Bradford: "None"

Mr. Scott: "Is there anyone here for or against this violation? Seeing none, I turn it over to you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Miller stated they would make a decision and notify them by certified mail.

BOARD DECISION: Guilty, \$150 fine

APPLICATION RECLASSIFICATION

Application for reclassification received from Jessica Alexander, Member; Scott McCardell, Member; Maryland Beer Co, LLC, T/A Maryland Beer Company LLC, 601 N. Bridge Street, Suite C, Elkton, MD 21921 for reclassification of Class "D" BWL No. D-110 to Class "B" BWL Alcoholic Beverages License.

Jessica Alexander and Scott McCardell appeared before the Board; they were duly sworn by the Clerk. The fully executed application packet with proper fees included: signatures of 10 registered voters and property owners in District 3. The legal notice was posted September 14 and 21, 2022.

Mr. Scott asked the applicants to introduce themselves and state why they are here.

Ms. Alexander: "I am here to reclassify from a class me to a class B with no liquor or wine on Sundays right Sundays. Just the beer that we make would be on some days, and no restrictions for under twenty one, and no restrictions for under twenty one."

Mr. McCardell: My name is Scott McCardell. I live at I'm here for reasons that she stated very well, Thank you.

Chairman Miller: Congratulations on the kitchen

Mrs. Trader: "And we do have a copy of their Food Service license."

Chairman Miller: At this point I'm open to a motion.

Commissioner Ortt: I move to approve the application. Reclassification received from Jessica Alexander, Member Scott McCardle, Member Maryland Beer Company, LLC, T/A Maryland Beer Company LLC at 601 North Bridge Street, Suite C. Elkton Maryland, 21921 for reclassification of Class D. B. W. L. Number D-110 to Class B BWL alcoholic beverage license

Chairman Miller: "I second that motion - all in favor?"

Mr. Scott: "Hold on before you don't. Do that, does anybody want to speak for or against this? Thank you."

Chairman Miller: All in favor of the motion.

Board: Aye

REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF PREMISES

Request received from Yan Qing Lin; Ruth Ann Maxey; Sushi House, LLC, T/A Johnny's Sushi House, 5412 Pulaski Highway, Perryville, MD 21903 for modification of alcoholic beverages license premises

Karl Fockler appeared with clients Yan Qing Lin; Mr. Fockler stated we're here today to request for expansion of the license. He continued that we are in the process of acquiring the property, including the laundromat and the tattoo parlor will be moving out; we would like to add some tables and coolers. Presently there is a single door cooler, and we would like to make it more convenient for patrons to purchase alcohol with their dinner. He stated he Town of Perryville is excited for the expansion. He continued he is paying higher prices for product because he has no storage and cannot purchase much.

Commissioner Ortt asked what size the coolers are now? Mr. Fockler replied there really aren't any; one single door cooler.

Chairman Miller: You're not looking to increase your liquor sales to go?

Mr. Fockler: Well, we are looking to accommodate and I think that a lot of the local other establishments are open at least until 8 or 8:30. So we're hoping that that won't be able to carry product because he has people that request that he can't serve really this is a solely, you know, once again,

accessory of the restaurant business, because the people that come in are patrons of the restaurant.

Mr. Scott: So, I mean, I want to make sure I understand correctly. Right now, we have a liquor license associated with the class B restaurant with the kitchen, and then we also have a license allows for carry out,

Chief Bradford: A Class B allows carry-out; at least the last ten or fifteen years, it's been a convenience for customers of the restaurant. Just come and sit down and have a dinner. Want to grab a six pack for the way home. They have that ability to open up a liquor store with separate cashier, twenty coolers with stacks of beer that's not for the more like that's what we don't have now right.

Mr. Scott: But if we were to grant this expansion of the liquor license, it would allow that to happen here. It could happen based on What if we give you that if we grant this to you, not saying you would. I'm asking this would put them into a liquor store character category. In other words, where do we draw the line between a liquor store and a restaurant with carry out?"

Chairman Miller: "Is this not direct competition with the 7-11? Okay, and the one up on 222?"

Chief Bradford: "7-11 doesn't have a license anymore."

Mr. Scott: "Are there any other liquor stores?"

Chief Bradford: "No area opposition, I think Lindley's even closes at seven o'clock a lot of nights.

Mr. Scott: "is what we're really what we've heard, It's your business. It's your model and your proforma. What we're being asked to really do is to bless a liquor store with a restaurant, a successful restaurant that has a healthy carry-out business that would like to expand always made the difference.

If you have a restaurant, people come in, take a part in the restaurant activities, food entertainment.

Chairman Miller: "So how far, does that go, is this just beer and wine?"

Chief Bradford: "Well, it would be liquor also."

Mr. Fockler: "But here we are, we have this, we finally have this opportunity for the restaurant, but at the same time it serves an additional purpose for him to be able to and to display product as well.

Chief Bradford: So, I guess, my thought is if they were asking for this without setting any additional tables, weren't making part of that food service just asking for this area, setting up all coolers and a cash register for off-premises sales, it would not allow that."

Mr. Scott: "So let me let me ask this question, then would it be a violation of the current liquor license for them to sell liquor without anyone buying food or buying something else at the at your facility, it would not be a violation of the law.

Mrs. Trader: "Can I just add that their Sunday sales presently shows their sales of alcohol is ten percent of their business, and Sunday is five, and that's on their Sunday sales that they do at renewal."

Commissioner Ortt: "Earl. Just so, I understand, is Wesley's kind of the same?

Chief Bradford: Wesley's was issued a long time ago.

Commissioner Ortt: "But is that kind of the same model.

Chief Bradford: "I would say it would be the opposite, because they have a separate package store"

Commissioner Ortt: "Okay, do you have an example of something like this of a similar setup?

Chief Bradford: "Maybe Pat's Pizza, I mean, Nino's, Sweeney's That's why any of our restaurants have the ability to sell off-premises but we've always released it in the last ten years. The Board has always said as part of the restaurant activity.

Commissioner Ortt: Okay.

Mr. Scott: "So if it's part of the restaurant activity is it because people are eating? Or is it because the restaurant activity is we're going to come in we're going to buy liquor?

Chief Bradford: "I would say we come in only to buy a liquor, that would not be part of the restaurant activity, but if they're coming in to get carry out, I think that would be part of the restaurant activity."

Mr. Scott: Sure, I don't think that would be part of the restaurant right? Because a restaurant is food.

Mr. Scott: "We see the benefits, but we also have a responsibility to the community with the number of package good stores that we have, and I don't believe this was really advertised as a new package good store coming into the area, and so that's why there's no opposition. If it was, I would certainly surmise there might be opposition."

Chairman Miller: "Earl, how does that work with the school?"

Chief Bradford: "Perryville signed the zoning certificate."

Mr. Scott: "Does Perryville know it's a potential package goods store?"

Chief Bradford: "Actually they were enthusiastic"

Mr. Scott: Mr. Fockler, when are they looking at opening?

Mr. Fockler: "Probably not for a couple of months at least, to be honest with you probably after the first of the year."

Mr. Scott: "I have no questions. I just I think I just want to let your clients know that the concern of the Board is that we don't bootstrap a package good store without following the proper process that we are certainly support restaurants being able to sell for convenience to restauraners, people using your restaurant for food take out or sit down to be able to buy alcohol. The concern of the Board is that this is a bootstrap to get a package good store, which is not really what's before the Board, and so if there's some way for the Board to help you be successful as a restaurateur and selling liquor as part of that. I think that's what they would like to do. But there has to be a way that we can limit or mitigate the possibility that it can't become a package could store, so I'll leave that up to the Board."

Commissioner Ortt: "I have one more question and Earl, maybe you can answer this, but this particular model is there a percentage of sales that can't be exceeded."

Mr. Scott: Now, that's It's within our discretion to set a policy on that, I believe, Earl, who we as a Board. Not maybe in this situation, but we could take it upon ourselves as the Board to set a policy of sending a limit of percentage of restaurant liquor sales as part of this a part of the license, and that would be grandfathered. I mean that rule would apply to everybody when if it was passed by the Board

Chief Bradford: "Just for argument's sake, they have already been approved for off-premises sales that was approved back in 2016, October of 2016, when there were a few people who came in, and they indicated that they weren't against the restaurant, and were against the restaurant sales, but they were against a liquor store opening up there."

Mr. Scott: "So when we passed that we didn't put a percent or anything we didn't limit it. We just said yes, but had to be associated with the restaurant, and so I think that's just what the concern is that how do we measure that?"

Chief Bradford: "Correct? No liquor store. And actually, it was commented that that I did routine inspections, if I saw any changes, I think it's time is related to liquor store activity. I was reported to the Board.

Mr. Scott: "As the counsel to the Liquor Board. I'd like to remind you and your attorney, Mr. Fockler, that the policy of the Liquor Board is that in 2016, when we allowed restaurants to sell liquor, beer, wine, and liquor. It was with the caveat that they had to the people that were buying and are purchasing it had to use for restaurant purposes, which would be food, either sit down or take out. We are generally and let the Board has to make a motion and vote. If the Board were to grant your request to enlarge your facilities, to carry a greater variety and more liquor, which would allow you to get a lower price, certainly understand that it would be with the same caveat. That's been our rule since 2016 that you could only sell to people that weren't utilizing your restaurant for food purposes. And I would like to point out that we're giving you a very strong reminder, and that we will certainly follow up on that once you've made your improvements if the Board approves it, and we would very much not like to see a violation of that, because of the strong reminder we're giving you. Now, that would be the reason why the Board would grant this. That being said, do you understand that?

Mr. Fockler: Yes, and yes, we understand.

Usually, when we have customers, comes in like one like nine o'clock, and now it's been like when we get some like, you know. So, if someone comes at nine o'clock, I just want to be very clear here, okay, if someone comes at nine o'clock, or at nine-fifty-five, and says, Hey, I need a six pack, and they don't buy takeout food. That would be a violation, so I'm trying to explain you buying food, and then the staff. That that has been our policy since two thousand and sixteen, and while it may not always be enforced. That is the policy. And since you have come before the Board and has brought this to light, we would like to make a gentle reminder that that is the rule, and as you expand, that would continue to be the rule, and we will, you know, Earl and his team of inspectors could very well follow up, and we want to make sure that you're abiding by the Board's decision. The reason for this is that we are not approving a package goods store or a bootstrap from a restaurant to a package goods store. If you do want to if in the future, you'd like to have a package goods store, liquor store there to sell only liquor beer and wine and in a you know, a different facility from one of the other stalls that you're building. That's fine. But you'd have to come in and make an application for that. Okay, So I just want to be very clear, Gentlemen, we certainly want to see you succeed. We really do. We want every business to succeed, but they can't come in and say I need a pack of fortune cookies and a fifth."

Chairman Miller: "Okay. At this point I'll entertain a motion.

Commissioner Ortt: "I move to approve the request for modification of premises for Class B. BWL. Number B-147 received from Yan Qing Lin; Ruth Ann Maxey; Sushi House, LLC, T/A Johnny's Sushi House, 5412 Pulaski Highway, Perryville, MD 21903 for modification of alcoholic beverages license premises

Chairman Miller: Second

Board: Aye

Chairman Miller: "Good luck! Congratulations!"

Commissioner Ortt made a motion to go into closed session to discuss the violation. Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board unanimously voted to go into closed session to discuss the violation.

After discussing the violation, Commissioner Ortt made a motion to reopen the meeting, Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board unanimously voted to reopen the meeting.

Questions/Public Comment

General Business

- **Approve Minutes**
- **Specials**

Old Business

- **Rules & Regulations**

Mr. Scott stated the Rules & Regulations were last updated in 2016; he asked that the Board vote on the whole package. He asked if anyone was present to speak for or against the Rules & Regulations, hearing none, Chairman Miller called for a motion. Commissioner Ortt made a motion to approve the Rules & Regulations as written; Chairman Miller seconded that motion, and the Board unanimously approved the Rules & Regulations as written.

New Business

At 10:46 AM, Commissioner Ortt made a motion to adjourn the meeting, Chairman Miller seconded that motion and the Board unanimously voted to adjourn.

BY:

Stephen Miller, Chairman

ATTEST:
Robin S. Trader, Administrative Assistant